

OSP Terms of Reference - Comments received on revised version

1. From Debbie Dance

“I would like to suggest that there is an overarching term of reference about ‘adding value’. We need to be clear that we are enabling things to happen that would not happen without us, and not merely repeating the work of others. We need to be able to demonstrate this clearly to others working in their various fields, so that they share the vision that the OSP is a good thing.”

Response:

This is implicit in the aims, but if necessary a 4th bullet could be added that captures the point in a simple and jargon free way, such as:

“To add value where difficult issues arise in the city by bringing independence and leadership to find solutions and ways of working for the benefit of everyone”

2. From Bob Price

“Some suggestions for the tor - mainly in the early sections and trying to make it read less like Bureaucrat Speak”

Response:

Accepted all amendments

3. From Mel Barrett

Probably worth a quick sense check that aims and objectives are consistent with the statutory requirements of LSPs as set out in legislation and government guidance -

Response:

Checked with PtP Practitioner Associates with expertise on LSP Governance Arrangements and they have confirmed that in their view these meet with statutory requirements

4. From Rex Knight

These look fine to me

Response:

Noted